Document 17

Filed 03/26/2008

Page 1 of 7

Case 3:07-cv-02015-DMS-WMC

- 2. On September 25, 2007 I caused a letter to be mailed to Wayne Oliver, addressed to the address reflected as his on U.S. Coast Guard records for the DEFENDANT VESSEL. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A. In this letter I made demand that the DEFENDANT VESSEL be immediately removed from PLAINTIFF's boatyard, and advised Mr. Oliver that lay day charges, calculated at the boatyard's usual rates, would be imposed until the DEFENDANT VESSEL was removed, and further that PLAINTIFF would seek the arrest of the trespassing DEFENDANT VESSEL if she was not removed within five days. This letter also urged Mr. Oliver to retain the services of an experienced maritime lawyer if he refused to remove his vessel or had doubts as to PLAINTIFF's right to seek the arrest of the offending vessel.
- 3. Having received no response to his letter, I contacted Mr. Oliver by telephone on October 4, 2007 to discuss the situation. At that time Mr. Oliver indicated he would in fact remove the DEFENDANT VESSEL the following week. During this conversation I reminded Mr. Oliver that lay day fees were continuing to accrue at the rate of \$66.00 per day (calculated at the usual rate of \$2.00 per foot of boat length per day). He agreed he would pay the lay day charges, and also indicated he would reimburse PLAINTIFF for the attorneys' fees it incurred in connection with the instant matter. At this time Mr. Oliver indicated he would remove the vessel no later than the following Wednesday, October 10, 2007, and that he would pay the lay day charges and attorneys' fees on that date. In the interest of resolving the matter informally and avoiding an unnecessary use of the Court's valuable and limited resources, PLAINTIFF agreed to hold legal action in abeyance, to permit Mr. Oliver an opportunity to perform on his promises. Regrettably, he did not.
- 4. On October 10, 2007, the date by which Mr. Oliver was to have removed his vessel and paid all sums agreed due, he had neither removed the DEFENDANT VESSEL nor contacted PLAINTIFF or its attorney to explain why he had not done so, nor paid the sums agreed due. Accordingly, I again called Mr. Oliver, to inquire as to his intentions. Mr. Oliver advised he had been unable to move the vessel because his car "broke down" in Oregon, where

DECLARATION OF PHILIP E. WEISS IN SUPPORT MOTION FOR ORDER FOR INTERLOCUTORY VESSEL SALE AND AUTHORIZATION TO CREDIT BID

Page -2-Case No. 07 CV 2015 DMS (WMc)

1	he app
2	after a
3	time t
4	he wo
5	appoi
6	on get
7	admit
8	once 1
9	before
0	vessel
l 1	then i
12	boaty
13	with r
14	[he] v
15	
16	on ove
17	yielde
18	listing
19	Yacht
20	440 ei
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

he apparently now resides. Asked why he did not then fly down to resolve the problem (which
after all was growing larger by at least \$66.00 per day), Mr. Oliver told me he did not have the
time to fly down because he had to go to a Veteran's Hospital. He did not explain, however, how
he would have had time to drive to San Diego from Oregon without interfering with his hospital
appointment, yet did not have the time to fly to San Diego. Mr. Oliver advised he was "working
on getting the money" necessary to satisfy PLAINTIFF's claim. When asked, Mr. Oliver
admitted he had not made arrangements for the accommodation of the DEFENDANT VESSEL
once he removed it from PLAINTIFF's boatyard. When I asked him how long it would be
before he would obtain the funds necessary to resolve this matter (pay lay day fees, remove his
vessel and pay, as agreed, attorneys' fees), he could not or would not provide any estimate. I that
then informed Mr. Oliver that the DEFENDANT VESSEL was continuing to interfere with
boatyard operations, and that if she was not removed immediately PLAINTIFF would be left
with no option but to seek the assistance of the Court. Mr. Oliver reiterated he "can't say when
[he] will get the money" necessary to satisfy PLAINTIFF's claim and remove his boat.

5. I conducted today a search of the Yachtword.com database (said to contain listings on over 118,000 vessels nationwide) for all listed 1968 34-foot Hatteras motoryachts. This search yielded only a single listing, with an <u>asking price</u> of \$24,900.00 A true and correct copy of this listing is attached hereto as Exhibit B. In contrast to the DEFENDANT VESSEL, the vessel listed at Yachtworld.com is said to be "pretty outside" with an interior in "good" condition, and with Chrysler 440 engines that reportedly run "real good."

Executed this 25th day of March, 2008 at San Diego, California.

s/Philip E. Weiss

Philip E. Weiss Attorney for Plaintiff Shelter Island Yachtways, Ltd. dba Shelter Island Boatyard E-mail: shiplaw@earthlink.net

27

26

28

September 25, 2007

EB514716015US

Mr. Wayne Oliver 3653 South Highway 99 (Medford) Post Office Box 1085 Jacksonville, Oregon 97530

Re:

Shelter Island Boatyard adv. M/Y SUNDANCE, Oliver

Demand for Immediate Removal of Trespassing Vessel; Vessel Arrest

Dear Mr. Oliver:

I represent Shelter Island Boatyard in connection with the referenced matter. The above referenced vessel, a Hattaras motoryacht of approximately 33 feet in length and 12 feet in beam, U.S.C.G. Official No. 521498 was *abandoned at my client's boatyard* on September 17, 2007.

This vessel, known as M/Y SUNDANCE, has no contractual or other legal authority to occupy space at my client's boatyard. Maritime law recognizes her as a *trespasser*. The trespass of a vessel gives rise to a maritime lien against her, which may be enforced by way of a vessel arrest accomplished in Federal Court. In such event, the very substantial costs of the arrest are fully recoverable. If you force us to arrest your vessel you can bet your life that we will seek recovery of every penny of the associated costs. If you doubt our ability to do this I suggest you immediately retain the services of an experienced maritime attorney.

In addition, without waiving my client's objection to the trespass of your boat, my client is assessing *lay day charges at its usual rate of \$2.00 per foot per day*. This amount to \$66.00 per day for your vessel. As a result of these unpaid charges, maritime "necessaries" lien has also arisen against your vessel, which also is enforceable in admiralty in a vessel arrest action. Be advised my client does not assume, and specifically disclaims, any custodial or any other duty with respect to your boat.

It is nothing short of *outrageous* that you have abandoned your vessel or allowed her to be abandoned at my client's facility. This is akin to someone parking an old wreck of an R.V. in front of your house and simply walking away. We will not allow your scow of a boat to become my client's problem. I have been instructed that if the vessel is not removed within five calendar days from the date of this letter I am to submit vessel arrest papers in the U.S. Federal District Court in San Diego, without further notice, naming you and your vessel as defendants. If this becomes necessary I will aggressively pursue vindication of my client's property rights, which you have seriously invaded by permitting your vessel to trespass at my client's boatyard.

If you have any thoughts of disregarding this letter I would urge you in the strongest possible terms to retain the services of a maritime lawyer *immediately*, so he or she can explain

Philip E. Weiss ▼ San Diego County Office
1551 Shelter Island Drive ▼ San Diego, California 92106
619.225.8884 ▼ Fax 619.225.8801
e-mail: pweiss@weissjones.com

George M. Jones ▼ Los Angeles County Office
429 Shoreline Village Drive, Suite N ▼ Long Beach, California 90802
562.435.9501 ▼ Fax 562.435.9581
e-mail: gjones@weissjones.com

to you exactly how any litigation is likely to "play out." Not well for you and your boat, I assure you. There will be no further notice or warning. If for any reason your boat is not removed by midnight on October 1, 2007 the next communication you will receive is service of legal papers, which you will be required to respond to. Remove you boat immediately or we will hold you and her accountable, simple as that. If I have not made myself perfectly clear, or if you or any lawyer you retain have any questions, I will look forward to hearing from you or such lawyer immediately to discuss them. This is a matter of utmost urgency. No excuses will be accepted. To avoid becoming a defendant in a federal action, you must take the action demanded above now.

Sincerely

Philip E. Weiss

cc:

Client

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING Visit WWW.usps.com Call 1-800-222-1811		EASE PRIN	Fat Rate Or Weight Int'l Aphra Country Code Acceptance Emp, initials	Schaduled Date of Delivery Return Reco		ZU 5472475 B3
TUNATIO	ZIP + 4 (U.S. AGORESSISS OUTV. DO AGT USE POST MINISTERS CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	NO DELIVERY Mailer Signature  TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE (	Express Mall Corporate Acct. No.  Express Mall Corporate Acct. No.  Customer requests waiver of signature.  Valency Acct. No. or Indian Acct. No. or Indian Agency Acct. No.  Postal Service Acct. No.  Auditorial merchandise insurance is void if you have of signature of addresses or addresses a sign. If delivery employee house is signature of addresses or addresses a sign. If delivery employee is signature constitutes.  Waive OF SENATURE (Commercia, Valid Constitute)  Additional merchandise insurance is void if you have not signature in the constitutes.	Time	Delivery Attempt Time App Employee Signature  Mo. Day Time App Employee Signature  Delivery Attempt Time App Employee Signature	EXPRESS  Customer Col MAIL  UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE® Post Office To Addresse



**.** □ ► HOM BOAT CHARTE SERVI



34' Hatteras Sport fish / AWL Grip

• Year: 1968

• Current Price: US\$ 24,900 Located In Mattapoisett, MA • Hull Material: Fiberglass

• Engine/Fuel Type: Twin Gas/Petrol

• YW# 3024-1784964

Click on image to enlarge

Photo Gallery	Full Specs	Send Email
Finance It	Insure It	

Other photos: Transom, Deck, Salon, Cockpit, Running Gear, Engine room.

One of my favorites, Hargrave design, heavy glass, good cruise, big enough to be stable but not so big it busts your wallet to store and maintain and your back to clean. She looks pretty outside, original interior is in good shape, Chrysler 440's run real good, canvas is missing. She needs a little here and there but is very complete and usable, these are well worth an effort.

Adam 6508-951-4869

Contact B.L.M. Yacht Sales Ltd..

1 Ferry Street Fall River, MA 02721 USA Toll-free 877-513-8965 Tel 508-677-4256 Fax 508-678-7564 Email us

Society Accredited Marine Surveyors When Only THE BEST Will Do . . .

contact us I help I about us I advertise with us I site map

YachtWorld.com, Lake Union Building, Suite 320, 1700 Westlake Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109, Facsimile 206.352,9406

copyright © 2008 Dominion Enterprises All Rights Reserved. | terms of use | privacy

